

Lease Accounting Project Update and Commentary as of November 29, 2011

Prepared by: Bill Bosco, Leasing 101

What is new since October:

- Slippage in the issuance of the new Exposure Draft
- New transition methods for lessees and lessors
- New guidance for lessor accounting including allowing operating lease accounting for lessors of certain real estate and sublessors in real estate subleases
- Disclosures for lessors
- Business combinations accounting

Executive summary

Timeline:

-Target is March/April 2012 for a new exposure draft with a 120 day comment period -This means the new rules will not be issued until early in 2013

-Transition date 2015 or 2016

Lessee Accounting:

-Capitalize all leases @ the PV of estimated payments.

-P&L pattern front ended – rent expense replace by amortization and imputed interest. -Lease term = substantially the same as current GAAP definition.

-Variable rents based on a rate (i.e., Libor) or an index (i.e., CPI) are booked based on spot rates with adjustments booked when the rate change changes contractual lease payments. Variable rents based on usage or lessee performance (e.g., sales) not booked unless a tool to avoid capitalization (disguised minimum lease payment). Estimated payments under residual guarantees are booked with review and adjustment at each reporting date.

-Short term leases use operating lease method with additional disclosure.

Lessor Accounting:

- Four methods identified for lessors – The "receivable & residual" (R&R) method (much like the current GAAP direct finance lease method) for leases of the entire asset to one lessee (covers virtually all equipment leases), short term lease election (current GAAP operating lease method), investment properties measured at fair value for qualifying real estate lessors that are investment companies (operating lease method with fair valuing of the leased asset) and a multi-lessee of investment property



(commercial real estate) exception to use existing operating lease accounting. -Under the RR method assets are the PV of the receivable and a plugged residual. -Sales-type gross profits are limited with residual portion of gain be deferred until resolved.

Leveraged lease accounting is eliminated with no grandfathering. This is a FASB only issue. New leveraged leases <u>may</u> be allowed offsetting of the rent & debt service.
(TBD) if they include it in the scope of the FASB's Balance Sheet – Offsetting project. The Boards will not allow tax affected revenue recognition for any lease.
Short term lease use operating lease method.

Item	Commentary
Re-exposure – A new exposure draft will be issued early in March or April 2012 with a 120 day comment period. With early in 2013 as the earliest a new standard is therefore expected to be issued	This is good news as it allows the industry and its lessee customer another chance to comment. The main problem areas are lessee front ended lease costs, the deferral of gross profit in sales-type leases, the loss of leveraged lease accounting and complexity/compliance costs. . Readers and your lessee customers should read the new exposure draft when issued and send a comment letter to the FASB/IASB.
Effective Date of New Standard- Most likely 2015 or 2016	2015 is the last transition date mentioned. The transition date also depends on the progress of their Revenue Recognition project as they would like to have both have the same transition date. If there are any delays in either project the transition date will slip to 2016. Preparers will have to show 2 years comparative data in the year of transition for all leases on the books in the year of transition. In other words there will be a need for information under the new rules for 2013 or 2014, depending on the transition year.
Lessee Transition Method - For lessees: - Capital leases are grand fathered - Operating lease leases - obligation booked at PV of remaining rents,	For lessee's using the optional full retrospective transition method will smooth the lessee transition year P&L impact as it would move the initial "hit" of front ending lease costs to the inception of each lease.

Details



offsetting ROU asset booked but adjusted by the ratio of remaining rent to total rents at inception and the difference is charged to equity and deferred tax assets

- For lessors

- Direct finance leases and sales type leases are grandfathered
- For operating leases book the PV of the rents as an asset, derecognize the operating lease asset and the difference is the residual. No decision on how to handle transition for operating leases with a gross profit element

Early adoption will be allowed for IFRS preparers and first time IFRS adopters. To lessen the negative lessee accounting P&L impact of using a prospective method in transition will allow_the full retrospective method as an option. This will result in a large hit to retained earnings and the creation of a large deferred tax balance in the year of transition. This will be a problem for a capital strapped banking industry. It will also be burdensome for lessees to go back to the inception of each lease.

For lessees the proposed modified retrospective approach would start the new accounting method for the lease liability for each lease (as though it were a new lease for the remaining term) beginning in the earliest period presented when a lessee converts. The ROU asset is adjusted in a complicated way by using a ratio of remaining rents to total rents to reflect a partial retrospective result. This is an attempt to lessen the first year P&L cost front ending. Instead the charge from this depreciation adjustment is to equity and deferred tax assets rather than to current P&L. This is an additional complexity for the lessee. This means that existing leases will have a front ended pattern *almost* as though they were new leases but with a term equal to the remaining term. The difference between this new method and treating the existing leases exactly as though they were new leases is the charge to equity and deferred tax assets - still not an outcome that reflects the economics of a lease to the lessee. This method will still create large increases in reported lease costs until the lessee's lease portfolio reaches a point where an equal amount of expiring leases are replaced by new leases. At that point the front ending phenomenon leaves all lessees with a permanent reduction in equity and a permanent deferred tax asset. In a going concern that is growing and with inflation there the portfolio of leases will grow and the lessee company will never reach a point of steady state leases costs.



Scope - All leases of a "specified asset,"	Although it excludes intangibles the scope
which includes leases of a specified asset, which includes leases of explicitly or implicitly identifiable property, plant and equipment as under current GAAP but also "inventory items" such as spare parts.	may be worded so that leases of intangibles like software can be accounted for as leases by analogy.
Definition of a lease (need to distinguish from service contract) - Regarding leases vs. installment purchases, the Boards decided to eliminate the scope exclusion therefore all lease contracts should be accounted for in accordance with the leases standard. Guidance will not be provided in the leases standard for distinguishing a lease of an underlying asset from a purchase or a sale of an underlying asset. If an arrangement does not contain a lease, it should be accounted for in accordance with other applicable standards (for example, property, plant, and equipment). The Boards agreed to tentatively confirm the 'specific asset' notion versus a notion of an asset of a certain specificity. Physically distinct portions of a larger asset can be specified assets and non- physically distinct portions are not specified assets. The description of "control", as defined in the Leases ED, should be revised to be consistent with the revenue recognition project while including guidance on separable assets. The Boards agreed that the right to control the use of a specified asset is conveyed if the customer has the ability to <u>both</u> direct the use of the asset and receive the benefit from its use. The Boards decided to require an assessment of whether, in contracts where the supplier directs the use of the asset used to perform customer services, the asset explicitly or implicitly identified in the contract is an inseparable part of the services. If the asset is inseparable, the customer would be deemed not to have the right to control the use of the asset and the arrangement	The tension in the definition of the lease is due to the fact that all operating leases are to be capitalized. Under current GAAP, full service leases that contain an operating lease element and a service element are accounted for in the same manner – that is as off balance sheet executory contracts. There needs to be a crisp definition to avoid capitalizing more contracts than intended (for instance there is no intention to capitalize any portion of an outsourcing contract where it is difficult to identify specific assets employed to deliver the service). The decisions will mean fewer contracts are considered leases vs. current GAAP, including EITF 01-08 (The revised guidance would result in certain contracts that are considered leases under current standards (e.g., certain take-or-pay contracts) to no longer be considered leases.). They did away with the EITF 01- 08 grandfathering of contracts booked before May 2003 so some long term contracts that were formally exempt from lease accounting may now be covered and capitalized.



would be accounted for as a service contract with no embedded lease of that asset. Under the newly-proposed guidance, any one of the following may indicate the customer has obtained the right to control the use of a specified asset: (a) The customer controls physical access to the specified asset; (b) The design of the asset is customer-specific and the customer has been involved in designing the specified asset; (c) The customer has the right to obtain substantially all of the economic benefits from use of the specified asset throughout the lease term. They did not conclude on but are in favor of concepts like not including in lease accounting assets that are incidental to the provision of a service or insignificant to the services provided. Rates for lessee and lessor accounting - Lessees use their incremental borrowing rate, unless the implicit rate in the lease is known, to capitalize the lease to calculate the PV receivable and to accrue revenue. For the residual revenue, the rate used in leases with no gross profit element, the implicit rate is used. For leases with a gross profit element, the accretion rate is derived (details are TBD)	Adjusting the lessee discount rate reintroduces a high level of complexity and volatility in reported results. They did say they would re-look the issue of the lessee discount rate in future meetings. The good news here is there are fewer instances where the lease term will be changed due to the high threshold for estimating the lease term. There also is hope that they will view renewals and extensions as new leases thus eliminating the need to adjust the existing lease to in effect make it a longer lease with P&L implications of front
The lessee must use the new, current incremental borrowing rate to adjust for changes in estimates of the lease term. Other changes in estimated payments would not require a change in the discount rate.	ending the renewal costs into the base lease term.
Lessee P&L pattern - It appeared that the Boards would allow former operating leases (now called "other than finance" leases) classified using IAS 17- like criteria to have straight line P&L cost pattern labeled as rent expense, but they reversed that tentative decision unexpectedly. The lessee cost pattern will	This is an extremely unpopular decision with lessees and many users of financials (analysts). It will have unintended consequences regarding contracts and regulations that allow cost reimbursement for rent as rent expense will be eliminated (This is an important issue that they have not resolved.) It will eat up capital for



rents) and imputed interest at the incremental borrowing rate on the capitalized lease obligation (PV of the rents).		
retrospective information for adjusting equity and deferred taxes caused by the front loading of lease costs. Additionally, due to the front loading of	amortizing the right of use asset (PV of the rents) and imputed interest at the incremental borrowing rate on the capitalized lease obligation (PV of the	retailers. It will create huge deferred tax assets as the lease costs will be largely non-cash charges in the early years of every lease. For a growing company lease costs will never level off. Inflation alone will mean most companies will never see lease costs leveling off unless they cut back on leasing. The reason the Boards reversed their view is they could not justify using other than straight line to amortize the right-of-use asset as their Conceptual Framework does not contemplate capitalizing executory contracts. They are also overly concerned with financial engineering of leases to avoid the front ending of lease costs. The Boards should slow down the project and take the time to analyze capitalized executory contract issues and amend their Conceptual Framework. They should focus in the fact that the unit of account is the contract and its fair value is the important balance sheet value – both the ROU asset and lease liability should have the same value over time except for impairment and initial direc costs. They also do not want to acknowledge that there are 2 types of leases – rental contracts and capital leases. Their favored solution is to not account for the former operating leases differently than the former capital leases but to disclose the amount of cash rent paid and the amount of rent expense that would have been reported in the reporting period. This disclosure is an inadequate solution for analysts as it does not break out capital leases from former operating leases and because it does not give retrospective information for adjusting equity and deferred taxes caused by the front loading of lease costs.
lease costs, any time a lease is terminat early there will be a gain. This is not		lease costs, any time a lease is terminated early there will be a gain. This is not



Lease term - The lease term is tentatively defined as the contractual term plus renewals where the lessee has a "clear economic incentive" to exercise the options. This is essentially the current GAAP definition.	logical and points out the fact that lease costs are recognized to early. There is some confusion as to what was said at their recent meetings but the staff assures us the final draft will be very much the same as current GAAP where the renewal options have to be a bargain or create economic compulsion to exercise to be considered a minimum lease payment to be capitalized. Hopefully they decide that a renewal or extension is a new lease to avoid complex adjustments, but that remains to be seen.
Termination Option Penalties - The accounting for termination option penalties should be consistent with the accounting for options to extend or terminate a lease. If a lessee determines it will terminate a lease early and would be required to pay a penalty, the term is shortened and the termination penalty is considered a lease payment to be capitalized. If a lessee would be required to pay a penalty if it does not renew the lease and the renewal period has not been included in the lease term, then that penalty is considered a lease payment to be capitalized.	
Purchase options - They decided the exercise price of a purchase option should be included in the lessee's liability to make lease payments and the lessor's right to receive lease payments only when there is a "significant economic incentive" to exercise the purchase option. If so, the ROU asset should be amortized over the useful life of the asset. Other purchase options are not considered lease payments to be capitalized.	.These conclusions are consistent with their conclusions on the lease term and renewals so it is good news except for the concerns re: frequency and details of reassessment in practice.
Reassessment of Options in a Lease - The Boards discussed how lessees and lessors should reassess whether a lessee has a clear economic incentive to exercise: - An option to extend or terminate a lease, and -An option to purchase the underlying	These conclusions are consistent with their conclusions on the lease term and renewals so it is good news except for the concerns re: frequency and details of reassessment in practice.



asset.

The Boards tentatively decided that a lessee and a lessor should consider whether it has a clear economic incentive to exercise an option. The Boards tentatively decided that the thresholds for evaluating a lessee's economic incentive to exercise options to extend or terminate a lease and options to purchase the underlying asset should be the same for both initial and subsequent evaluation, except that a lessee and lessor should not consider changes in market rates after lease commencement when evaluating whether a lessee has a significant economic incentive to exercise an option. The Boards tentatively decided that changes in lease payments that are due to

a reassessment in the lease term should result in:

- A lessee adjusting its obligation to make lease payments and its right-of-use asset; and

-A lessor adjusting its right to receive lease payments and any residual asset, and recognizing any corresponding profit or loss (pending the Boards' decision on lessor accounting).

This still means some complexity for Variable payments - Variable lease payments will be included in the lease floating rate equipment leases, like fleet payments to be capitalized by the lessee leases. It also means it is likely the and to be included in the lessor's lease complexity of capitalizing and adjusting receivable, but the specific variable real estate leases with CPI variable rent payments will be limited vs. what was clauses will still be burdensome. proposed in the ED. Details are as The changes re: variable rents based on follows: - All variable lease payments that depend on an index (e.g. CPI) or a rate (e.g.,

LIBOR based floating rate leases) must be estimated and booked using the spot

usage and lessee performance are good news for both the equipment and real estate leasing industries as it will lessen



rate When the index changes the lease has to be adjusted. The P&L is "hit" for the	the complexity and amounts capitalized. Guidance on determining when variable
 current and prior period impacts and the ROU asset and liability are adjusted for the future impacts. Other variable lease payments based on usage (e.g., cost per mile) or lessee performance (e.g., rents based on sales) will not be capitalized unless they are deemed to be "disguised" minimum payments. Disclosure will be required within the notes of contingent rent leasing arrangements (details to be determined later). 	rents are disguised lease payments are to be decided. The object is to capture transactions structured to lessen capitalization by having below market contractual rents but with variable rents that are virtually certain to occur and will "make up for" under market contractual rents.
For lessors, when the rate charged to the lessee reflects an expectation of future variable lease payments, as actual variable payments are received that are different than estimated, the residual must be adjusted. If the variable payments were not expected, they are accounted for as revenue when received/earned.	The requirement for lessors to adjust the residual in variable payment leases where there was an expectation of receipt of such payments is another example of over complicating the standard with situations that rarely occur or generally are immaterial.
Residual Guarantees -They reiterated their conclusions that: - a third party residual guarantee is not a minimum lease payment for the lessor. - lessees should only record the likely payment under a residual guarantee – not the full amount of the residual guarantee but rather the amount it is in the money; - residual guarantees should be	The decision that a residual guarantee is not a minimum lease payment is not good news as it may limit sales type lease profits recognized up front. It also means the guaranteed residual is not a financial asset that can be securitized off balance sheet.
reassessed when events or circumstances indicate that there has been a significant change in the amounts expected to be payable under residual value guarantees. An entity would be required to consider all relevant factors to determine whether events or circumstances indicate that there has been a significant change; - changes in estimates of residual value guarantees should be recognized (a) in net income to the extent that those changes relate to current or prior periods and (b) as	In our opinion the charges regarding changes in the estimate of the amount payable under a residual guarantee should be allocated to future periods, meaning offsetting entry to the change in the lease liability is an increase or decrease in the ROU asset and the new balance in the ROU asset is straight lined over the remaining lease term.



an adjustment to the right-of-use asset to	
the extent those changes relate to future periods. The offsetting entry is an increase or decrease in the capitalized lease obligation. The allocation for changes in estimates of residual value guarantees should reflect the pattern in which the economic benefits of the right-of-use asset will be consumed or were consumed. If that pattern cannot be reliably determined, an entity should allocate changes in estimates of residual value guarantees to future periods.	In our opinion a guaranteed residual should be labeled a financial asset and it should increase gross profit recognition.
For lessors a residual guarantee or residual insurance will not be recorded until the residual is resolved nor will it convert the residual asset to a financial asset. It will not affect gross profit recognition.	
Short term leases - The Boards will allow short term leases by asset class election to use the current operating lease method. This applies to lessors and lessees.	
A short term lease is defined as, a lease that at the date of commencement of the lease has a maximum possible lease term, including any options to renew or extend, of 12 months or less. This means that typical fleet/spilt TRAC/synthetic leases that have 12 month terms and month to month termination/renewal options will not be considered short term leases.	
Lessees are required to disclose rental expense incurred under short-term leases during the reporting period and whether there are circumstances or expectations that would indicate that the entity's short- term lease practices would result in a material change in the next reporting period.	
Subleases	The decision to allow real estate



- A head lease and a sublease should be accounted for as separate transactions. The lessee accounts for the head lease by capitalizing the ROU asset and liability and following the ROU accounting. The lessor accounting for the sublease must follow decisions on lessor accounting. The Boards have decided to allow sublessors of multi tenant real estate to follow existing operating lease accounting.	sublessors to use the operating lease method is a great relief as it would have been difficult to apply the R&R method. The same should go for equipment leases but they are silent on that so presumably the R&R method would be used. Subleasing of equipment leases is not common so it should not be a big issue, but applying the R&R method to a sublease will be difficult.
Sale leasebacks - If the transaction is considered a sale under the revenue recognition standard (means that control of the asset has been transferred) account for the transaction as a sale leaseback, otherwise consider it a financing/loan. When the sales price and leaseback rents are at fair value, gains or losses arising from the transaction are recognized immediately. When sales price and rents are not at fair value, the assets, liabilities, gains and losses should be adjusted to reflect the current market. In transition any deferred gains in existing sale leasebacks will be credited to equity.	This is good news as the criteria for determining a sale are less onerous than current GAAP (FAS 98) and the profit recognition is up front for most deals versus current GAAP that causes deferral and, in most cases, amortization of gains in sale leasebacks. This is bad news for the banks that did sale leasebacks to raise capital. Not only will the asset come back on books but the P&L cost will be accelerated as the ROU asset is written off over the lease term not the economic useful life as well as the general front loading pattern of the proposed lessee accounting. Also the deferred gain will not flow thru earnings but rather be a credit to opening retained earnings.
Contract Modifications or Changes in Circumstances after the Date of Inception of the Lease - The Boards tentatively decided: -A modification to the contractual terms of a contract that is a substantive change to the existing contract should result in the modified contract being accounted for as a new contract. As a result, the existing lease would be closed out and a gain would result because of the front ended pattern of accounting for the lease costs. A new lease would then be recorded. -A change in circumstances other than a modification to the contractual terms of the	



contract that would affect the assessment of whether a contract is, or contains, a lease should result in a reassessment as to whether the contract is, or contains, a lease.	
Lease inception vs. commencement - Lessees and lessors initially measure (calculate the amount capitalized) and recognize (book) the lease assets and liabilities at the date of lease commencement. Lessees use incremental borrowing rate at lease commencement to calculate the amount capitalized.	This is good news as it simplifies the lessee accounting. They are discussing including committed leases in the footnote table of future lease obligations. This adds to the complexity of compliance. At this point they have not concluded that a renewal is a new lease so if a renewal is executed before the end of a lease term or is a lessee determines that there is a significant economic incentive to renew, the renewal is booked before commencement – clearly this is not logical as a new lease is not booked until commencement. The fact that lease costs are front loaded means the lease costs from the renewal period will begin to be recognized during the remaining term of the original lease. As a result it would be in the lessee's best interest to terminate a lease and sign a new lease. In termination the lessee would record a gain on the old lease. This would somewhat offset the front ending of costs in the new lease.
Pre-commencement payment/interim rents - Interim rents are recognized as a rent prepayment and at the date the commencement the prepayments will be included in the cash flow discounting to determine the value of the right-of-use asset and capitalized lease obligation.	Interim rents are now officially part of the capitalized lease amount for lessees and as a result, lessees will be more aware of the cost of the lease. For lessors, although it is yet to be clarified, as it reads, for leases with interim fundings the earnings on the interim rents will be deferred and amortized over the lease term beginning at the commencement date of the lease.
Lease incentives - Cash payments received from the lessor are included as a cash inflow in the cash flow discounting to determine the value of the right-of-use	



asset and capitalized lease obligation.	
Bundled lease payments - Payments must be bifurcated by lessees and lessors. Lessees bifurcate using observable stand alone prices if know for all elements, consistent with the revenue recognition project; if only one element is observable assume the cost of the other is the residual cost. Where no observable market prices available, lessees capitalize the whole payment as a lease. Initial direct costs - These are costs that are directly attributable to negotiating and arranging a lease that would not have been incurred had the lease transaction not been made. These are third party	Unless they are more lenient in allowing estimates when market rates are not available to the lessee, this will mean that lessors will be forced to disclose the breakdown of elements in a full service lease as lessees will not accept capitalizing the full bundled payments.
costs. Lessees should capitalize initial direct costs by adding them to the carrying amount of the right-of-use asset and as a result the initial direct costs will be amortized straight line over the lease term. Lessors will include the initial direct costs as a reduction in the amount of the right to receive lease payments placed at time zero. The effect is to reduce the implicit rate and as a result the lease revenue recognized over the lease term will be reduced.	
Foreign Exchange Differences - The Boards discussed the accounting by lessees for leases denominated in a foreign currency. The Boards tentatively decided that foreign exchange differences related to the liability to make lease payments should be recognized in profit or loss, consistently with foreign exchange guidance in existing IFRSs and U.S. GAAP.	
Impairment - The Boards discussed impairment of the lessee's right-of-use asset. The Boards tentatively decided to affirm the proposal in the Leases Exposure Draft to refer to existing guidance in IFRSs and U.S. GAAP for impairment of the right-	



of-use.

Lessee presentation and disclosures On the balance sheet the lessee must present the ROU asset with PP&E based on the nature of the underlying asset either separately or by providing a breakdown in the notes. The lease liability may be presented separately on the balance sheet or disclosed in the notes. On the income statement the lessee will present amortization of the ROU asset separately from the implied interest on the lease liability. Interest on the lease liability must be reported separately from other interest expense. On the statement of cash flows the implied principal payment is considered a financing activity and the implied interest, variable rent costs and operating lease rents are considered cash outflows from operating activities. Disclosures include:

-Describe the nature of, and restrictions imposed by, lease arrangements. – Provide information about judgments and assumptions relating to amortization methods, renewal options, contingent rentals, termination penalties, residual value guarantees, and discount rate and changes to those judgments and assumptions'

- Sale and leaseback terms and conditions, gains and losses.

- A reconciliation between the opening and closing balances for right-of-use assets and liabilities to make estimated future lease payments. The ROU reconciliation must be disaggregated by class of leased property.

- A maturity analysis of the gross undiscounted liability to make estimated The presentation in the income statement and cash flows statement will not reflect the economic effects of leases. The current GAAP straight line rent expense and rent reported as an operating cash outflow provide more useful information. These issues are a consequence of the decision to create the front loaded cost pattern.

The lessee disclosures are more extensive than current GAAP. The proposed disclosures do not give users enough information to reconcile the proposed P&L and cash flow presentation to what would have occurred under current GAAP. The issue of how to determine rent that is reimbursable under regulatory and contract reimbursement is unresolved.



future lease payments on annual basis for	
the first five years, and a lump sum for the	
remainder, showing contractual maturities,	
reconciled to the liability recognized.	
-Lessees applying U.S. GAAP would be	
required to include in their maturity	
analysis cash flows related to services	
embedded in lease contracts that are	
accounted for separately from the leases.	
- A tabular disclosure of all expenses	
related to leases not included in the lease	
liability and right-of-use asset, and short-	
term lease expense.	
-Separately disclose the cash paid relating	
to the lease liability.	
-A qualitative disclosure about	
circumstances or expectations that the	
entity's short-term lease practices would	
result in a material change in the next	
reporting period.	
I coccurrence and the provide	The design to use and begin medal for is
Lessor accounting model - The Boards	The decision to use one basic model for is
decided that there will be one lessor	good news for equipment lessors. The
decided that there will be one lessor accounting method for all leases called the	good news for equipment lessors. The R&R method is very similar to the current
decided that there will be one lessor accounting method for all leases called the "receivable residual" ("R&R") method.	good news for equipment lessors. The R&R method is very similar to the current direct finance lease method. Allowing
decided that there will be one lessor accounting method for all leases called the "receivable residual" ("R&R") method. There are 3 exceptions – short term leases	good news for equipment lessors. The R&R method is very similar to the current direct finance lease method. Allowing partial sales type profit on all leases is
decided that there will be one lessor accounting method for all leases called the "receivable residual" ("R&R") method. There are 3 exceptions – short term leases can be accounted for under the current	good news for equipment lessors. The R&R method is very similar to the current direct finance lease method. Allowing partial sales type profit on all leases is good for the former operating leases but
decided that there will be one lessor accounting method for all leases called the "receivable residual" ("R&R") method. There are 3 exceptions – short term leases can be accounted for under the current GAAP operating lease method, certain real	good news for equipment lessors. The R&R method is very similar to the current direct finance lease method. Allowing partial sales type profit on all leases is good for the former operating leases but worse for the former direct finance leases.
decided that there will be one lessor accounting method for all leases called the "receivable residual" ("R&R") method. There are 3 exceptions – short term leases can be accounted for under the current GAAP operating lease method, certain real estate leases can be accounted for at fair	good news for equipment lessors. The R&R method is very similar to the current direct finance lease method. Allowing partial sales type profit on all leases is good for the former operating leases but worse for the former direct finance leases. The decision to accrete the residual is
decided that there will be one lessor accounting method for all leases called the "receivable residual" ("R&R") method. There are 3 exceptions – short term leases can be accounted for under the current GAAP operating lease method, certain real estate leases can be accounted for at fair value using the investment properties	good news for equipment lessors. The R&R method is very similar to the current direct finance lease method. Allowing partial sales type profit on all leases is good for the former operating leases but worse for the former direct finance leases. The decision to accrete the residual is important good news. The failure to label
decided that there will be one lessor accounting method for all leases called the "receivable residual" ("R&R") method. There are 3 exceptions – short term leases can be accounted for under the current GAAP operating lease method, certain real estate leases can be accounted for at fair value using the investment properties method if the lessor is a real estate	good news for equipment lessors. The R&R method is very similar to the current direct finance lease method. Allowing partial sales type profit on all leases is good for the former operating leases but worse for the former direct finance leases. The decision to accrete the residual is important good news. The failure to label a guaranteed residual as a financial asset
decided that there will be one lessor accounting method for all leases called the "receivable residual" ("R&R") method. There are 3 exceptions – short term leases can be accounted for under the current GAAP operating lease method, certain real estate leases can be accounted for at fair value using the investment properties method if the lessor is a real estate investment company and leases of	good news for equipment lessors. The R&R method is very similar to the current direct finance lease method. Allowing partial sales type profit on all leases is good for the former operating leases but worse for the former direct finance leases. The decision to accrete the residual is important good news. The failure to label a guaranteed residual as a financial asset is an issue for transfers of financial assets
decided that there will be one lessor accounting method for all leases called the "receivable residual" ("R&R") method. There are 3 exceptions – short term leases can be accounted for under the current GAAP operating lease method, certain real estate leases can be accounted for at fair value using the investment properties method if the lessor is a real estate investment company and leases of investment property assets (multi lessee	good news for equipment lessors. The R&R method is very similar to the current direct finance lease method. Allowing partial sales type profit on all leases is good for the former operating leases but worse for the former direct finance leases. The decision to accrete the residual is important good news. The failure to label a guaranteed residual as a financial asset is an issue for transfers of financial assets and gross profit recognition. It is likely that
decided that there will be one lessor accounting method for all leases called the "receivable residual" ("R&R") method. There are 3 exceptions – short term leases can be accounted for under the current GAAP operating lease method, certain real estate leases can be accounted for at fair value using the investment properties method if the lessor is a real estate investment company and leases of investment property assets (multi lessee leases of commercial real estate as an	good news for equipment lessors. The R&R method is very similar to the current direct finance lease method. Allowing partial sales type profit on all leases is good for the former operating leases but worse for the former direct finance leases. The decision to accrete the residual is important good news. The failure to label a guaranteed residual as a financial asset is an issue for transfers of financial assets and gross profit recognition. It is likely that manufacturers and dealers will use more
decided that there will be one lessor accounting method for all leases called the "receivable residual" ("R&R") method. There are 3 exceptions – short term leases can be accounted for under the current GAAP operating lease method, certain real estate leases can be accounted for at fair value using the investment properties method if the lessor is a real estate investment company and leases of investment property assets (multi lessee leases of commercial real estate as an example) may be accounted for under the	good news for equipment lessors. The R&R method is very similar to the current direct finance lease method. Allowing partial sales type profit on all leases is good for the former operating leases but worse for the former direct finance leases. The decision to accrete the residual is important good news. The failure to label a guaranteed residual as a financial asset is an issue for transfers of financial assets and gross profit recognition. It is likely that manufacturers and dealers will use more third party lessors to provide leases to
decided that there will be one lessor accounting method for all leases called the "receivable residual" ("R&R") method. There are 3 exceptions – short term leases can be accounted for under the current GAAP operating lease method, certain real estate leases can be accounted for at fair value using the investment properties method if the lessor is a real estate investment company and leases of investment property assets (multi lessee leases of commercial real estate as an example) may be accounted for under the current operating lease method. The	good news for equipment lessors. The R&R method is very similar to the current direct finance lease method. Allowing partial sales type profit on all leases is good for the former operating leases but worse for the former direct finance leases. The decision to accrete the residual is important good news. The failure to label a guaranteed residual as a financial asset is an issue for transfers of financial assets and gross profit recognition. It is likely that manufacturers and dealers will use more third party lessors to provide leases to customers so they can maintain the same
decided that there will be one lessor accounting method for all leases called the "receivable residual" ("R&R") method. There are 3 exceptions – short term leases can be accounted for under the current GAAP operating lease method, certain real estate leases can be accounted for at fair value using the investment properties method if the lessor is a real estate investment company and leases of investment property assets (multi lessee leases of commercial real estate as an example) may be accounted for under the current operating lease method. The assets under the R&R method are the PV	good news for equipment lessors. The R&R method is very similar to the current direct finance lease method. Allowing partial sales type profit on all leases is good for the former operating leases but worse for the former direct finance leases. The decision to accrete the residual is important good news. The failure to label a guaranteed residual as a financial asset is an issue for transfers of financial assets and gross profit recognition. It is likely that manufacturers and dealers will use more third party lessors to provide leases to customers so they can maintain the same level of profitability as under current sales
decided that there will be one lessor accounting method for all leases called the "receivable residual" ("R&R") method. There are 3 exceptions – short term leases can be accounted for under the current GAAP operating lease method, certain real estate leases can be accounted for at fair value using the investment properties method if the lessor is a real estate investment company and leases of investment property assets (multi lessee leases of commercial real estate as an example) may be accounted for under the current operating lease method. The assets under the R&R method are the PV of the rents using the lease's implicit rate	good news for equipment lessors. The R&R method is very similar to the current direct finance lease method. Allowing partial sales type profit on all leases is good for the former operating leases but worse for the former direct finance leases. The decision to accrete the residual is important good news. The failure to label a guaranteed residual as a financial asset is an issue for transfers of financial assets and gross profit recognition. It is likely that manufacturers and dealers will use more third party lessors to provide leases to customers so they can maintain the same level of profitability as under current sales type accounting rules. This will mean the
decided that there will be one lessor accounting method for all leases called the "receivable residual" ("R&R") method. There are 3 exceptions – short term leases can be accounted for under the current GAAP operating lease method, certain real estate leases can be accounted for at fair value using the investment properties method if the lessor is a real estate investment company and leases of investment property assets (multi lessee leases of commercial real estate as an example) may be accounted for under the current operating lease method. The assets under the R&R method are the PV of the rents using the lease's implicit rate and the residual. The residual is the	good news for equipment lessors. The R&R method is very similar to the current direct finance lease method. Allowing partial sales type profit on all leases is good for the former operating leases but worse for the former direct finance leases. The decision to accrete the residual is important good news. The failure to label a guaranteed residual as a financial asset is an issue for transfers of financial assets and gross profit recognition. It is likely that manufacturers and dealers will use more third party lessors to provide leases to customers so they can maintain the same level of profitability as under current sales type accounting rules. This will mean the costs to lessees will increase and 3 rd
decided that there will be one lessor accounting method for all leases called the "receivable residual" ("R&R") method. There are 3 exceptions – short term leases can be accounted for under the current GAAP operating lease method, certain real estate leases can be accounted for at fair value using the investment properties method if the lessor is a real estate investment company and leases of investment property assets (multi lessee leases of commercial real estate as an example) may be accounted for under the current operating lease method. The assets under the R&R method are the PV of the rents using the lease's implicit rate	good news for equipment lessors. The R&R method is very similar to the current direct finance lease method. Allowing partial sales type profit on all leases is good for the former operating leases but worse for the former direct finance leases. The decision to accrete the residual is important good news. The failure to label a guaranteed residual as a financial asset is an issue for transfers of financial assets and gross profit recognition. It is likely that manufacturers and dealers will use more third party lessors to provide leases to customers so they can maintain the same level of profitability as under current sales type accounting rules. This will mean the



 Is no sales type gross profit the residual is accreted to its estimated value at lease expiry using the implicit rate in the lease. Under the R&R method sales type profit is allowed but limited to the ratio of the PV of the rents to the fair value of the asset. The balance of the profit related to the residual are still TBD but the accretion of the residual are still TBD but the accretion rate will be a derived IRR rate - not the implicit rate in the lease. Leveraged lease accounting will not be included in the new rule. They will not allow grand fathering of existing deals. They will not allow a tax affected revenue recognition method. There is also a chance that netting will be allowed for new leveraged leases under a "Balance Sheet-Offsetting" project that they are separately working on a proposal to allow investment property accounting for US real estate leases accounting but must fair the residual asset. The proposal in discussion will allow this only if the use of investment company. This is as opposed to IAS 40 		
 expiry using the implicit rate in the lease. Under the R&R method sales type profit is allowed but limited to the ratio of the PV of the rents to the fair value of the asset. The balance of the profit related to the residual portion is deferred. The details of the accretion of the residual are still TBD but the accretion rate will be a derived IRR rate - not the implicit rate in the lease. Leveraged lease accounting will not be included in the new rule. They will not allow a tax affected revenue recognition method. There is also a chance that netting will be allowed for new leveraged leases under a "Balance Sheet-Offsetting" project that they are separately working on, but this is not assured. Investment Property Accounting for Real Estate Leases - The FASB is working on a proposal to allow investment property accounting but must fair the residual asset. The proposal in discussion will allow ofts only if the leasing company is an investment 	is no sales type gross profit the residual is	availability of credit
Under the R&R method sales type profit is allowed but limited to the ratio of the PV of the rents to the fair value of the asset. The balance of the profit related to the residual portion is deferred. The details of the accretion of the residual are still TBD but the accretion rate will be a derived IRR rate - not the implicit rate in the lease. Leveraged lease accounting will not be included in the new rule. They will not allow grand fathering of existing deals. They will not allow a tax affected revenue recognition method. There is also a chance that netting will be allowed for new leveraged leases under a "Balance Sheet- Offsetting" project that they are separately working on, but this is not assured. Investment Property Accounting for Real Estate Leases - The FASB is working on a proposal to allow investment property accounting for US real estate leasing companies. That is they use current operating lease accounting but must fair the residual asset. The proposal in discussion will allow this only if the leasing company is an investment		.
allowed but limited to the ratio of the PV of the rents to the fair value of the asset. The balance of the profit related to the residual portion is deferred. The details of the accretion of the residual are still TBD but the accretion rate will be a derived IRR rate - not the implicit rate in the lease. Leveraged lease accounting will not be included in the new rule. They will not allow grand fathering of existing deals. They will not allow a tax affected revenue recognition method. There is also a chance that netting will be allowed for new leveraged leases under a "Balance Sheet- Offsetting" project that they are separately working on, but this is not assured. Investment Property Accounting for Real Estate Leases - The FASB is working on a proposal to allow investment property accounting for US real estate leasing companies. That is they use current operating lease accounting but must fair the residual asset. The proposal in discussion will allow this only if the leasing company is an investment	Linder the R&R method sales type profit is	5
 the rents to the fair value of the asset. The balance of the profit related to the residual portion is deferred. The details of the accretion of the residual are still TBD but the accretion rate will be a derived IRR rate - not the implicit rate in the lease. Leveraged lease accounting will not be included in the new rule. They will not allow grand fathering of existing deals. They will not allow a tax affected revenue recognition method. There is also a chance that netting will be allowed for new leveraged leases under a "Balance Sheet-Offsetting" project that they are separately working on, but this is not assured. Investment Property Accounting for Real Estate Leases - The FASB is working on a proposal to allow investment property accounting but must fair the residual asset. The proposal in discussion will allow this only if the leasing company is an investment 		
 balance of the profit related to the residual portion is deferred. The details of the accretion of the residual are still TBD but the accretion rate will be a derived IRR rate - not the implicit rate in the lease. Leveraged lease accounting will not be included in the new rule. They will not allow grand fathering of existing deals. They will not allow a tax affected revenue recognition method. There is also a chance that netting will be allowed for new leveraged leases under a "Balance Sheet-Offsetting" project that they are separately working on, but this is not assured. Investment Property Accounting for Real Estate Leases - The FASB is working on a proposal to allow investment property accounting for US real estate leasing companies. That is they use current operating lease accounting but must fair the residual asset. The proposal in discussion will allow this only if the leasing company is an investment 		.
 accretion of the residual are still TBD but the accretion rate will be a derived IRR rate - not the implicit rate in the lease. Leveraged lease accounting will not be included in the new rule. They will not allow grand fathering of existing deals. They will not allow a tax affected revenue recognition method. There is also a chance that netting will be allowed for new leveraged leases under a "Balance Sheet- Offsetting" project that they are separately working on, but this is not assured. Investment Property Accounting for Real Estate Leases - The FASB is working on a proposal to allow investment property accounting for US real estate leasing companies. That is they use current operating lease accounting but must fair the residual asset. The proposal in discussion will allow this only if the leasing company is an investment 		
 the accretion rate will be a derived IRR rate - not the implicit rate in the lease. Leveraged lease accounting will not be included in the new rule. They will not allow grand fathering of existing deals. They will not allow a tax affected revenue recognition method. There is also a chance that netting will be allowed for new leveraged leases under a "Balance Sheet-Offsetting" project that they are separately working on, but this is not assured. Investment Property Accounting for Real Estate Leases - The FASB is working on a proposal to allow investment property accounting for US real estate leases accounting but must fair the residual asset. The proposal in discussion will allow this only if the leasing company is an investment 	portion is deferred. The details of the	large ticket assets that would have been
rate - not the implicit rate in the lease.effective.Leveraged lease accounting will not be included in the new rule. They will not allow grand fathering of existing deals. They will not allow a tax affected revenue recognition method. There is also a chance that netting will be allowed for new leveraged leases under a "Balance Sheet- Offsetting" project that they are separately working on, but this is not assured.The decision to allow the use of operating lease accounting for multi-lessee leases is welcome relief to the commercial real estate industry as the R&R method is difficult to apply for them and does not reflect their business economics.Investment Property Accounting for Real Estate Leases - The FASB is working on a proposal to allow investment property accounting for US real estate leasing companies. That is they use current operating lease accounting but must fair the residual asset. The proposal in discussion will allow this only if the leasing company is an investmentThis potential decision to limit investment companies is viewed as a negative if the company as it is then subject to all the aspects of investment company accounting.		0
Leveraged lease accounting will not be included in the new rule. They will not allow grand fathering of existing deals. They will not allow a tax affected revenue recognition method. There is also a chance that netting will be allowed for new leveraged leases under a "Balance Sheet- Offsetting" project that they are separately working on, but this is not assured. Investment Property Accounting for Real Estate Leases - The FASB is working on a proposal to allow investment property accounting for US real estate leasing companyies. That is they use current operating lease accounting but must fair the residual asset. The proposal in discussion will allow this only if the leasing company is an investment		
 Included in the new rule. They will not allow grand fathering of existing deals. They will not allow a tax affected revenue recognition method. There is also a chance that netting will be allowed for new leveraged leases under a "Balance Sheet-Offsetting" project that they are separately working on, but this is not assured. Investment Property Accounting for Real Estate Leases - The FASB is working on a proposal to allow investment property accounting for US real estate leasing companies. That is they use current operating lease accounting but must fair the residual asset. The proposal in discussion will allow this only if the leasing company is an investment 	rate - not the implicit rate in the lease.	effective.
 Included in the new rule. They will not allow grand fathering of existing deals. They will not allow a tax affected revenue recognition method. There is also a chance that netting will be allowed for new leveraged leases under a "Balance Sheet-Offsetting" project that they are separately working on, but this is not assured. Investment Property Accounting for Real Estate Leases - The FASB is working on a proposal to allow investment property accounting for US real estate leasing companies. That is they use current operating lease accounting but must fair the residual asset. The proposal in discussion will allow this only if the leasing company is an investment 		
 Included in the new rule. They will not allow grand fathering of existing deals. They will not allow a tax affected revenue recognition method. There is also a chance that netting will be allowed for new leveraged leases under a "Balance Sheet-Offsetting" project that they are separately working on, but this is not assured. Investment Property Accounting for Real Estate Leases - The FASB is working on a proposal to allow investment property accounting for US real estate leasing companies. That is they use current operating lease accounting but must fair the residual asset. The proposal in discussion will allow this only if the leasing company is an investment 	Loveraged loops appointing will not be	
 allow grand fathering of existing deals. They will not allow a tax affected revenue recognition method. There is also a chance that netting will be allowed for new leveraged leases under a "Balance Sheet- Offsetting" project that they are separately working on, but this is not assured. Investment Property Accounting for Real Estate Leases - The FASB is working on a proposal to allow investment property accounting for US real estate leasing companies. That is they use current operating lease accounting but must fair the residual asset. The proposal in discussion will allow this only if the leasing company is an investment 	5	1 5
They will not allow a tax affected revenue recognition method. There is also a chance that netting will be allowed for new leveraged leases under a "Balance Sheet- Offsetting" project that they are separately working on, but this is not assured. Investment Property Accounting for Real Estate Leases - The FASB is working on a proposal to allow investment property accounting for US real estate leasing companies. That is they use current operating lease accounting but must fair the residual asset. The proposal in discussion will allow this only if the leasing company is an investment	-	U U
recognition method. There is also a chance that netting will be allowed for new leveraged leases under a "Balance Sheet- Offsetting" project that they are separately working on, but this is not assured. Investment Property Accounting for Real Estate Leases - The FASB is working on a proposal to allow investment property accounting for US real estate leasing companies. That is they use current operating lease accounting but must fair the residual asset. The proposal in discussion will allow this only if the leasing company is an investment	They will not allow a tax affected revenue	
leveraged leases under a "Balance Sheet- Offsetting" project that they are separately working on, but this is not assured.This potential decision to limit investment properties accounting to investment property accounting for US real estate leasing companies. That is they use current operating lease accounting but must fair the residual asset. The proposal in discussion will allow this only if the leasing company is an investmentThis potential decision to limit investment properties accounting to investment companies is viewed as either restricting the use of investment property accounting in the US or viewed as a negative if the company as it is then subject to all the aspects of investment company accounting.	Ū.	•
Offsetting" project that they are separately working on, but this is not assured.This potential decision to limit investment property accounting for Real Estate Leases - The FASB is working on a proposal to allow investment property accounting for US real estate leasing companies. That is they use current operating lease accounting but must fair the residual asset. The proposal in discussion will allow this only if the leasing company is an investmentThis potential decision to limit investment properties accounting to investment companies is viewed as either restricting the use of investment property accounting in the US or viewed as a negative if the company qualifies as an investment company as it is then subject to all the aspects of investment company accounting.	C C	reflect their business economics.
working on, but this is not assured.This potential decision to limit investment property accounting for US real estate leasing companies. That is they use current operating lease accounting but must fair the residual asset. The proposal in discussion will allow this only if the leasing company is an investmentThis potential decision to limit investment properties accounting to investment companies is viewed as either restricting the use of investment property accounting in the US or viewed as a negative if the company as it is then subject to all the aspects of investment company accounting.	C C	
Real Estate Leases - The FASB is working on a proposal to allow investment property accounting for US real estate leasing companies. That is they use current operating lease accounting but must fair the residual asset. The proposal in discussion will allow this only if the leasing company is an investmentproperties accounting to investment companies is viewed as either restricting the use of investment property accounting in the US or viewed as a negative if the company qualifies as an investment company as it is then subject to all the aspects of investment company accounting.		
Real Estate Leases - The FASB is working on a proposal to allow investment property accounting for US real estate leasing companies. That is they use current operating lease accounting but must fair the residual asset. The proposal in discussion will allow this only if the leasing company is an investmentproperties accounting to investment companies is viewed as either restricting the use of investment property accounting in the US or viewed as a negative if the company qualifies as an investment company as it is then subject to all the aspects of investment company accounting.	Investment Property Accounting for	This potential decision to limit investment
property accounting for US real estate leasing companies. That is they use current operating lease accounting but must fair the residual asset. The proposal in discussion will allow this only if the leasing company is an investment		properties accounting to investment
leasing companies. That is they use current operating lease accounting but must fair the residual asset. The proposal in discussion will allow this only if the leasing company is an investmentin the US or viewed as a negative if the company qualifies as an investment company as it is then subject to all the aspects of investment company accounting.	•	
current operating lease accounting but must fair the residual asset. The proposal in discussion will allow this only if the leasing company is an investmentcompany qualifies as an investment company as it is then subject to all the aspects of investment company accounting.		
in discussion will allow this only if the leasing company is an investment accounting.	3 1	5
leasing company is an investment accounting.		
	-	
	company. This is as opposed to IAS 40	······································
which already exists for IAS companies		
that allows the accounting method for all real estate leasing companies in addition	5	
to having the fair value residual accounting	to having the fair value residual accounting	
as an option.		The legger disclosures are extensive.
Lessor presentation and disclosure: The lessor disclosures are extensive. For large organizations it will be difficult to	Lessor presentation and disclosure.	
The lease receivable and the residual comply and still provide meaningful	The lease receivable and the residual	



asset are presented separately in the statement of financial position, summing to a total "lease assets"; or combined as "lease assets but with the breakdown disclosed in the notes.	information without a voluminous footnote. The likely result will be very general "boiler plate" statements
The finance income on the rents and the	
residual accretion are presented as	
interest income net of initial direct cost	
amortization. Sales-type profits may be	
reported gross or net of cost of sales.	
Disclosures required are:	
 lease income generated from the entity's leasing activities (in tabular 	
form) disaggregated by (a) profit	
recognized at lease commencement,	
(b) interest income on the lease	
receivable, (c) accretion of the residual	
asset, (d) variable lease income for	
amounts not initially recorded in the lease receivable and (e) short-term	
lease income.	
- fixed-price purchase options which	
exist on underlying leases.	
- information about variable lease	
payments and lease term (i.e., disclosing the basis and terms on	
which contingent rentals are	
determined and the existence and	
terms of options, including renewal and	
termination options).	
- a reconciliation between the beginning	
and ending balances of the lease receivable and residual asset.	
- a maturity analysis of undiscounted	
cash flows that are included in the	
lease receivable, with reconciliation to	
the amounts reported in the statement	
of financial position for the lease	
receivable. Time bands for the maturity	
analysis should, at a minimum, include each of the first five years following the	
each of the macrice years following the	



reporting date and the total of the amounts for the remaining years.how it manages its exposure to the	
 underlying asset, including: its risk management strategy; the carrying amount of the residual asset that is covered by 	
residual value guarantees and the unguaranteed portion of the carrying amount of the residual asset; and	
 whether the lessor has any other means of reducing its exposure to residual asset risk (e.g., buyback agreements with the manufacturer from whom the 	
lessor purchased the underlying asset or options to put the underlying asset to the manufacturer).	
However, disclosure would not be required for:	
 initial direct costs incurred in the reporting period and included in the lease receivable. 	
 the fair value of the lease receivable or the residual asset. the range or the weighted average 	
of discount rates used to calculate the lease receivable	
Business Combinations:	Lessees will immediately report front
Lessees: Record the lease liability and ROU as though the lease was a new lease but use the incremental borrowing rate on the acquisition date. Adjust the ROU asset if the lease rents are off market. For short term leases no entry is necessary.	ended lease costs as the acquired leases are considered new leases. The requirement to adjust for off market terms will be difficult to apply for equipment leases as there is no market for used equipment to get observable lease rates.
Lessors: For leases where the R&R method is applicable record the PV of the rents using the implicit rate on the	For lessors the proposed rule makes sense as that is how a lessor will price an acquired lease.
acquisition date. The residual is the	For securitizations of operating leases



difference between the PV receivable and the fair value of the leased asset on the acquisition date. For short term leases no entry is necessary.	retrospectively recording the transactions as sales, if they qualify, would give the user more useful information as the alternative is to report assets that do not meet the definition of an asset.
For lessors that do not follow the R&R method use existing business combination guidance.	
For securitized operating leases that were recorded as secured borrowings lessors cannot retrospectively record the securitization as a sale.	

Conclusion - We as an industry got many of the changes we pointed out that make the proposed rule more reasonable but there remain 4 advocacy issues:

- Most important is that the boards recognize that there are 2 types of leases for lessees and allow straight line expense recognition for the leases that are now considered operating leases.
- Lessees and lessors need relief from the complexity and compliance burden in areas like transition, adjustment of estimates in the lease term, accounting for variable rents and disclosure.
- We need to get some relief in accounting for leveraged leases at least to net the rent and the debt to better reflect the economic risks for the lessor. The after tax yield argument is valid as well for leases with tax benefits but the Boards are reluctant to open up revenue recognition and accounting for income tax rules to deal with taxes.
- Regarding sales-type lease profit recognition, we need to at least get the Boards to allow greater gross profit recognition if the residual is guaranteed or insured.

To get these last points addressed we need comment letters that present the issues, why they need to be addressed and suggested outcomes with sound accounting and business arguments.